



ISSN: 2319-5967

ISO 9001:2008 Certified

International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology (IJESIT)

Volume 7, Issue 5, September 2018

# LDos Attack Detection in Mobile Adhoc Network: A Survey

Anish Kumar

*Abstract— MANET established a particular category of wireless communication systems. Wireless network is much vulnerable which lead to many attacks in the network. LDoS attacks generally low rate attack and deliver low data traffic to network, slow the target resources and make impossible or difficult for valid users to use services. This is tough to categorize LDoS attack from ordinary traffic due to of relatively low data rate characteristics. Even though the LDoS attack activities are very low, but it will unavoidably lead to the dissimilarity of multifractal entrances of system traffic. The prevention and detection of LDoS attack in a network is challenging task for the researchers. This paper survey the LDoS attack detection scheme. The technique of multifractal detrended fluctuation analysis is applied to find out the modification in relationships of multifractal features in excess of a minor scale of system data traffic because to LDoS attacks. The different LDoS detection scheme is also presented in this paper.*

**Keywords—**DDoS, LDoS, MANET, MF-DFA, Security.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Digital information are growing using the networks of mobile devices anywhere at any time and becoming the need of today. Mobile Adhoc network[1] is a collection of mobile nodes that can communicate with each other using multihop wireless links without using any fixed base station infrastructure and centralized management. The main characteristic of the Adhoc network is dynamic topology. In this, nodes changes its position often and these nodes have to adapt for the network topology change. Each node should maintain some CPU capacity, storage capacity, battery power and bandwidth. So that routing protocol try to minimize the traffic in packet transmission. In MANET the mobile wireless network does not be dependent on some be existent network. It's an amalgamation of numerous wireless nodes which can construct a network arbitrarily. Ad-hoc is Latin word which reflects as for this and only for this. Without using some static structural support the info is transferring in the setup of mobile devices. This type of networks is called as ad-hoc network. To set up the network for the nodes for short period of time is objective of the of ad-hoc network. MANET is a setup which workings on idea of having network without any static infrastructure. Such network consists of mobile nodes which are free to move. They come together for a span of time for give and take process means to receive and give the information in return. All information is used by each device, can be assumed as producers and consumers in an ad-hoc network. Ad hoc setup reduces the requirement of static infrastructure and install the speed. Data packets channeled amongst a sender machine (source) and a receiver machine (destination) of an adhoc network often navigate along a pathway traversing multiple links, which is well-known as the multihop path. An infrastructure less and self configured system of mobile nodes is called as MANET. Every mobile node is permitted to change position in any ways and can change their link at any time.

Security and integrity [2] is the main issue in wireless network. Malevolent info and data security shows a remarkable part in net system let-down recognition and network organisation. To improve security using confidential and trust key in wireless sensor network, to develop a scheme which authenticate the node based on recommendation based trust value, to provide secure routing to the network. Wireless network have sensing ability and communication functionalities. MANET established a particular category of wireless communication systems.

The prevention and detection of LDoS[3] attack in a network is challenging task for the researchers. LDoS attacks generally low rate attack and deliver low data traffic to network, slow the target resources and make impossible or difficult for valid users to use services. It is tough to identify LDoS attack streams from standard traffic because of small data rate characteristics. LDoS attacks are more problematic to prevent, identify, or recover. A denial-of-service attack is an event that takes place when an attacker takings action that avoids appropriate users from retrieving under attack computer network resources, devices, or systems. The rest of the paper is organized as follows.

Section 2 represents security issues in MANET. Section 3 provides literature survey related to LDoS prediction, and detection. Section 4 provides problem identification. Section 5 concludes the paper with a summary of the related work.



ISSN: 2319-5967

ISO 9001:2008 Certified

International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology (IJESIT)  
Volume 7, Issue 5, September 2018

## II. SECURITY ISSUES IN MANET

Wireless network is much vulnerable which lead to many attacks in the network. The main components of wireless network are access point, transmission using radio frequency, and connection of user to access point. The two components in wireless network which provide vulnerability to attack are routing protocol and access point. The security exposures of Adhoc routing protocol [4] are due to different type of attack:

Active attack through which the misbehaving node has to bear some energy costs in order to perform some harmful operation. In this type of attack the intruder perform an effective violation on either the network resource or the data transmitted. Nodes that perform active attack with the aim of damaging other node by causing network outage are considered to be malicious node. Passive attack that mainly consist of lack of cooperation with purpose of energy saving. In this type of attack the intruder only perform some kind of monitoring on certain connection to get information about the traffic without injecting any fake information. MANETs are much more vulnerable to attack than wired network. This is because of the following reason:-

- Open medium- Eavesdropping is easier than in wired network.
- Dynamic changing network topology-Mobile node comes and goes from the network, thereby allowing any malicious node to join the network without being detected
- Lack of centralized monitoring- Absence of any centralized infrastructure prohibits any monitoring agent in the system
- Battery constraints: Devices used in these wireless networks has constraints on the power source in mandate to conserve movability, size and weightiness of the device

Each security framework must give a heap of security capacities that can guarantee the mystery of the framework. These capacities are generally alluded to as the objectives of the security framework. These objectives can be recorded under the accompanying five fundamental categories:

**Verification or Authentication:** This implies before sending and accepting information utilizing the framework, the recipient and sender character ought to be confirmed.

**Mystery or Confidentiality:** Usually this capacity (highlight) is the manner by which a great many people recognize a safe framework. It implies that exclusive the validated individuals can translate the message (date) content and nobody else.

**Uprightness or integrity:** Integrity implies that the substance of the imparted information is guaranteed to be free from an adjustment between the end focuses (sender and recipient). The fundamental type of uprightness is bundle check whole in IPv4 parcels.

## III. LITERATURE SURVEY

Kalman filtering [6]. The proposed scheme discovered the characteristics of system traffic observed at the target end as soon as the attack initiated. The error amongst one step estimate and the optimum estimation is applied as the beginning for detection.

Recommendation Based Trust Model[6] by means of an Effective Defense System for MANETs make available reference created trust prototypical with a safety structure, which make use of grouping procedure to enthusiastically filter out occurrences related to dishonest recommendations applying guaranteed time constructed on amount of interactions, compatibility of information and closeness between the nodes. It simply detects bad mounting cyber-attack. The scheme does not make available detection and prevention from DDoS type attacks.

Wu and Lee [7] suggested an LDoS attack recognition method by using the procedure of one step guess Provide Secure Routing and preventing malicious node in MANET provides SIEVE[8], an entirely circulated system to distinguish malevolent nodes. SIEVE is precise and robust under various attack circumstances and ambiguous actions. The approaches instigated for the identification and the successive eradication of malevolent nodes openly necessitate a vigilant design and combined to increase the thorough performance.



ISSN: 2319-5967

ISO 9001:2008 Certified

**International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology (IJESIT)**

**Volume 7, Issue 5, September 2018**

Yu and Yi [9] suggested a collaborative methodology of security associated to occasional shrew LDoS attacks in the small frequency domain. The proposed methodology recognized shrew LDoS attacks with the assistance of frequency-domain features from the auto-correlation prearrangement of Internet data traffic.

This [10] technique recommend a novel procedure to recognize malicious node affected by hole black attack and construct dimension estimations that are resilient to numerous compromised sensors even when they conspire in the occurrence. The methodology tracked in this paper is based on dimensions investigation and its applicability depends on the supposition that the measurements are associated under unaffected environments, while negotiated measurements interrupt such connections. The drawbacks of the scheme is that the dimensions encompass duplicate information. This will not sense irregular fluctuations [23] in the spatial patterns.

This [11] provides information about routing security. It also provides detection of black hole attack. One constraint of the projected method is that it workings based on a postulation that malevolent nodes do not effort as a group, even though this may occur in an actual condition. This paper does not provide group attacks problem.

This [12] make available information around recommendation based trust model for the MANET. It efficaciously make available details and discriminated the untruthful and honest recommendations. This procedure will not work on black hole and location and time based attacks. Initially altogether mandatory parameters, amount of nodes, and threshold data for the system. The proposed algorithm will detect black hole based attacks in the network and informed to the network.

This [13] provides Context-Aware Security and Trust framework (CAST) for sensor network, in which numerous contextual information, such as battery status, communication channel status, and weather condition, are composed and then used to decide whether the mischievousness is probable an outcome of malevolent activity or not. This paper will not detected selective and black hole attacks which can provides many security problems. Initially all the required parameters, number of nodes, and threshold value for the network. The proposed algorithm will detect black hole based attacks in the network and informed to the system. The threshold fundamental is approved as 0.65. The trust value [14] is deliberate from timestamp providing by system. This belief data sideways with assurance value is applied for node authentication.

The technique of multifractal detruded fluctuation analysis (MF-DFA)[15] is applied to find out the modification in relationships of multifractal features in excess of a minor scale of system data traffic because to LDoS attacks. A innovative approach of characteristic LDoS attacks is recommended by noticing the unpredicted change of Holder exponent by means of wavelet analysis. The DFA system is comprehensively applied in validating the scale characteristic of mono fractal method and in observing the long-range construction of noisy nonstationary arrangements. By applying the MF-DFA procedure, investigators can accomplish the multifractal band effortlessly and investigate the multifractal characteristic of non-stationary classifications efficiently, traffic measurements. Low-rate denial of service type cyber-attack send uninterrupted episodic pulse series with proportional tiny rate to formulate amalgamation flows at the target object. LDoS occurrence actions have the appearances of excessive concealment and relatively low average rate. LDoS attack is a novel type of DoS cyber attack. LDoS attacks demonstration an occasional pulse organization, which can be transferred in a three-way of attack duration  $L$ , epoch  $T$ , and attack rate  $R$ . LDoS cyber-attacks send attack data packets after period to time in a short time period. The network multifractal should be episodic when LDoS cyber-attacks are hurled unpredictably. The author presented the wavelet management method in determining LDoS attacks by applying the DWT[16] discrete wavelet transform procedure. This procedure make over network data traffic into high, middle, and low frequency mechanisms for the determination of determining the attack traffic. This is tough to categorize LDoS attack from ordinary traffic due to of relatively low data rate characteristics.

Even though the LDoS attack activities are very low, but it will unavoidably lead to the dissimilarity of multifractal entrances of system traffic. LDoS occurrences effort to controvert bandwidth to TCP streams whereas transference at acceptably small average rate to change to detection by means of counter-DoS mechanisms [17]. The LDoS cyber-attacks possibly will continuously destruct the target machine for a prolonged period deprived of being discovered. DDoS concerned with recognition methods are no longer proper for the discovery of LDoS attacks. The investigators create that the self-similar method with its single scaling concern is not satisfactory as an assorted scaling on acceptable timescales.



ISSN: 2319-5967

ISO 9001:2008 Certified

International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology (IJESIT)  
Volume 7, Issue 5, September 2018

**Table 1. Comparison of different LDoS attack detection methods**

Method	Detection Performance		
	PD	PFN	PFP
Kalman	89.6%	10.4%	12.6%
NCAS	88%	12%	16.7%
Multifractal	91%	9%	10%

Table 1 above represented LDoS attack detection methods comparison. As shown in table 1 the detection performance of multifractal detection method is more as compared to Kalman and NCAS. The multifractal detection method has 91% detection probability while kalman and NCAS has 89.6% and 88% respectively. The probability of false positive and false negative is also more in multifractal method as compared to kalman and NCAS methods.

#### IV. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION

Due to the intrinsically self-motivated characteristics of the mobile system topology, the prevailing links are persistently impaired, and fresh links be there often predictable. To improve the data delivery ratio and performance of MANET and likewise discover and remove attacks is the foremost difficulty in MANET. Real time programs in MANET necessitate certain QoS advantages, such as marginal end-to-end data packet interval and unobjectionable data forfeiture.

Open medium, dynamically changing network topology, cooperative algorithms, inadequacy of integrated observing, nonexistence of clear line of defense. There is not at all encrusted safety in wireless network like in wired network. MANET are highly affected to attack than wired network. Following are the reasons: Mobile devices are not having the centralized control, therefore they are free to move, and hence the topology of such network fluctuations expeditiously.

Identification of malevolent machine, data safety and secure path creation in a mobile system is a key tasks in any wireless network.

The fundamental of a trust direction lies in locating trust. However, gaining the trust of a node is very challenging, and by what means it be able to accomplish is quiet ambiguous. However, the present trust-based direction approaches face some interesting issues. Trust and security and direction-finding over and done with a dynamic recognition route procedure is compulsory. For the reason that of wireless sensor networks innate resource and constrained appearances, they are predisposed to numerous safety attacks. How to avoid and detect black hole attack is of countless consequence for safety in wireless network. Because it is problematic to pinpoint mischievous nodes, the safety route is still an interesting dispute. Thus, there are more problems praiseworthy of additional study.

For the reason that energy is very inadequate in wireless network, in furthestmost research, the trust diffusion and acquisition have great energy consumption, which totally disturbs the network period. The data should be transmitted securely irrespective of black hole and LDoS attack occurs on not.

#### V. CONCLUSION

Real time programs in MANET necessitate certain QoS advantages, such as marginal end-to-end data packet interval and unobjectionable data forfeiture. Nodes that perform active attack with the aim of damaging other node by causing network outage are considered to be malicious node. Security and integrity is the main issue in wireless network. The data should be transmitted securely irrespective of black hole and LDoS attack occurs on not. LDoS attacks is an event that take place when an attacker takings action that avoids appropriate users from retrieving under attack computer network resources, devices, or systems. The DoS attacks almost crashes the node and blocks most of the path of the network. DOS attacks degrades the network performance and drop the packet delivery ratio.

The paper represented different LDoS attack detection approaches with disadvantage and advantages. The comparison related to detection probability of different methods is also represented.



ISSN: 2319-5967

ISO 9001:2008 Certified

International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology (IJESIT)

Volume 7, Issue 5, September 2018

REFERENCES

- [1] Charlos De Cordeiro and Dharma P. Agarwal “ Mobile ad-hoc networking”,OBR Research Centre for Distributed and Mobile Computing, ECECS, University of Cincinnati –USA.
- [2] Hongmei Deng, Wei Li, and Dharma P. Agrawal, Routing Security in Wireless Ad Hoc Networks, IEEE 2002, pp-433-445
- [3] A. Kuzmanovic and E. W. Knightly, “Low-rate TCP-targeted denial of service attacks and counter strategies,” IEEE/ACM Trans. Netw., vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 683–696, Aug. 2006.
- [4] Josh Broch ,David A. Maltz , David B. Johnson, Yih-chunhee, Jorjeta Jatchene, “ A Performance Comparison of Multi-Hop Wireless Ad-hoc Network Routing Protocol”, Computer Science Department, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh PA 15213, Available at <http://www.monarch.cs.cmu.edu/>
- [5] W. Zhijun and Y. Meng, “Detection of LDDoS attack based on kalman filtering,” Acta Electronic Sinica, vol. 36, no. 8, pp. 1590–1594, Aug. 2008.
- [6] Antesar M. Shabut, Keshav P. Dahal, Sanat Kumar Bista, and Irfan U. Awan, Recommendation Based Trust Model with an Effective Defence Scheme for MANETs IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON MOBILE COMPUTING, VOL. 14, NO. 10, OCTOBER 2015, pp-2101-2114
- [7] Z.-J. Wu, H.-T. Zhang, M.-H. Wang, and B.-S. Pei, “MSABMS based approach of detecting LDoS attack,” Comput. Security, vol. 31, pp. 402–417, 2012.
- [8] H. Yan-Xiang, C. Qiang, L. Tao, H. Yi, and X. Qi, “A Low-Rate DoS detection method based on feature extraction using wavelet transform,” J. Softw., vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 930–941, Apr. 2009.
- [9] J. Luo, X. Yang, J. Wang, J. Xu, J. Sun, and K. Long, “On a mathematical model for low-rate shrew DDoS,” IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Security, vol. 9, no. 7, pp. 1069–1083, Jul. 2014.
- [10] Z. Xia, S. Lu, and J. H. Li, “DDoS flood attack detection based on fractal parameters,” in Proc. 8th Int. Conf. Wireless Commun., Netw. Mobile Comput., 2012, pp. 1–5.
- [11] P. Barford, J. Kline, D. Plonka, and A. Ron, “A signal analysis of network traffic anomalies,” in Proc. ACM SIGCOMM Internet Meas. Workshop, Marseilles, France, 2002, pp. 71–82.
- [12] Baruch Awerbuch & Dr. Amitabh Mishra, Department of Computer Science, Johns Hopkins, “Ad-hoc on Demand Distance Vector (AODV) Routing Protocol” CS: 647, Advanced topic in Wireless Network Chapter -6, sections 6.1-6.3, 6.5-Ad-hoc networking, Perkins, Addison Wesley, 2001.
- [13] Wenjia Li, Anupam Joshi, Tim Finin, CAST: Context-Aware Security and Trust Framework for Mobile Ad-hoc Networks Using Policies, IEEE 2010, pp-188-201.
- [14] Y. Xiang, K. Li, and W. Zhou, “Low-rate DDoS attacks detection and trace back by using new information metrics,” IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Security, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 426–437, Jun. 2011.
- [15] Zhijun Wu, Liyuan Zhang, and Meng Yue, Low-Rate DoS Attacks Detection Based on Network Multifractal, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON DEPENDABLE AND SECURE COMPUTING, VOL. 13, NO. 5, SEPTEMBER/OCTOBER 2016, pp-559-567.
- [16] S. T. Zargar, J. Joshi, and D. Tipper, “A survey of defense mechanisms against distributed denial of service (DDoS) flooding attacks,” IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts., vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 2046–2069, Fourth Quarter 2013.
- [17] P. Barford, J. Kline, D. Plonka, and A. Ron, “A signal analysis of network traffic anomalies,” in Proc. ACM SIGCOMM Internet Meas. Workshop, Marseilles, France, 2002, pp. 71–82.