



ISSN: 2319-5967

ISO 9001:2008 Certified

International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology (IJESIT)

Volume 4, Issue 2, March 2015

# On Rg-Compact Spaces

A. M. Al-Shibani<sup>1</sup> and S. M. Al-Areefi<sup>2</sup>

Department of Mathematics, College of science  
University of Dammam, Dammam, Saudi Arabia

*Abstract: The purpose of this paper is to offer some more properties of rg-compact spaces such as the finite union of rg-compact sets, and then we studied its connection with rg-closed sets and rg-Hausdorff spaces.*

**Key Words:** rg-compact spaces, rg-Hausdorff spaces, rg-closed sets.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Regular generalized closed sets have been introduced and studied by Palaniappan and Chandra [3], Chawlit [2] introduced the concept of rg-Hausdorff spaces, Al-Shibani [1] introduced and investigated rg-compact spaces. Throughout this paper  $(X, \tau)$  (or simply  $X$ ) represents a topological space. For any subset  $A$  of  $X$ ,  $\text{cl } A$  and  $\text{int } A$  denote the closure of  $A$  and the interior of  $A$ , respectively.

**Definition 1** A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be:

1. Regular open (briefly, r-open) (resp. regular closed (briefly, r-closed)) if  $A = \text{int}(\text{cl } A)$  (resp.  $A = \text{cl}(\text{int } A)$ ) [4].
2. rg-closed if  $\text{cl } A \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$ , where  $U$  is r-open.

It is said to be rg-open if  $X \setminus A$  is rg-closed (equivalently  $F \subseteq \text{int } A$  whenever  $F \subseteq A$  and  $F$  is r-closed) [3].

**Remark 1** It is easy to see that  
 $\text{r-open} \rightarrow \text{open} \rightarrow \text{rg-open}$

**Definition 2** A topological space  $X$  is said to be rg-Hausdorff if whenever  $x$  and  $y$  are distinct points of  $X$  there are disjoint rg-open sets  $U$  and  $V$  with  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$  [2].

**Remark 2** It is easy to see that  
 $\text{Hausdorff} \rightarrow \text{rg-Hausdorff}$ .

**Definition 3** A topological space  $X$  is called regular generalized compact (briefly, rg-compact) if every rg-open cover of  $X$  has a finite subcover [1].

**Remark 3** It is easy to see that  
 $\text{rg-compact} \rightarrow \text{compact}$ .

**Example 1** Every finite subset of a topological space is rg-compact.

**Proof.** Let  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  be finite subset of a topological space  $X$ , let  $\{U_\alpha\}$  be rg-open cover of  $A$  which means  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha$ .

Then each element of  $A$  belongs to at least one of the sets  $\{U_\alpha\}$ , hence  $a_1 \in U_{\alpha_1}, \dots, a_n \in U_{\alpha_n}$

$$\text{Thus } A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$$

Which is a finite subcover for  $A$ . So  $A$  is rg-compact. ■

**Theorem 1** Let  $A, B$  be rg-compact subsets of a topological space  $X$ .  
Then  $A \cup B$  is rg-compact.



ISSN: 2319-5967

ISO 9001:2008 Certified

International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology (IJESIT)

Volume 4, Issue 2, March 2015

**Proof.** Let  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  be rg-open cover of  $A \cup B$ , i.e.  $A \cup B \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha$

So  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha$ ,  $B \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha$ .

Since  $A$  and  $B$  are rg-compact, so we can choose finite subcover, say  $A \subseteq U_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{\alpha_m}$ ,  $B \subseteq U_{\alpha_{m+1}} \cup \dots \cup U_{\alpha_{m+k}}$

Hence  $A \cup B \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{m+k} U_{\alpha_i}$ .

Therefore  $A \cup B$  is rg-compact. ■

**Corollary 1** Any finite union of rg-compact sets are rg-compact.

**Theorem 2** Each rg-compact subset of a rg-Hausdorff space is rg-closed.

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be rg-compact subset of a Hausdorff space  $X$ . We will prove that  $X \setminus A$  is rg-open and hence  $A$  is rg-closed.

Let  $x \in X \setminus A$ , for each  $y \in A$  there exists disjoint rg-open sets  $U_y$  and  $V_x$  with  $y \in U_y$  and  $x \in V_x$ .

The collection  $\{U_y : y \in A\}$  is rg-open cover of  $A$ .

Since  $A$  is rg-compact so it has a finite subcover  $\{U_{y_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ ,  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{y_i}$ .

Put  $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{y_i}$ ,  $V = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{x_i}$ .

So  $U$  and  $V$  are disjoint,  $V$  is rg-open set, also  $x \in V$  and  $A \cap V = \emptyset$ .

So  $x \in V \subseteq X \setminus A$ .

Therefore  $X \setminus A$  is rg-open set, hence  $A$  is rg-closed set. ■

**Theorem 3** Let  $X$  be rg-compact, rg-Hausdorff space,  $A$  subset  $A$  of  $X$  is rg-compact if and only if it is rg-closed.

**Proof.** Obvious from Theorem (2) and Theorem 4.2 in [3]. ■

**Theorem 4** If  $A$  is rg-compact,  $F$  is rg-closed subset of a topological space  $X$ , then  $A \cap F$  is rg-compact.

**Proof.** Let  $\{U_\alpha\}$  be rg-open cover of  $A \cap F$ , i.e.  $A \cap F \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha$ , then  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha \cup (X \setminus F)$

Since  $F$  is rg-closed subset, so  $X \setminus F$  is rg-open subset, therefore  $\{\{U_\alpha\}, X \setminus F\}$  is rg-open cover of  $A$ .

Since  $A$  is rg-compact, so it has a finite subcover for  $A$ , that is  $A \subseteq U_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{\alpha_n} \cup X \setminus F$ , Thus we have  $A \cap F \subseteq U_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{\alpha_n}$ , therefore  $A \cap F$  is rg-compact. ■

**Theorem 5** The following conditions are equivalent:

1.  $X$  is rg-compact

2. Every family  $\{F_\alpha\}$  where  $I$  is index set of rg-closed subsets of  $X$  with  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} F_\alpha = \emptyset$ , contains a finite subsets

$\{F_{\alpha_1}, \dots, F_{\alpha_k}\}$  such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^k F_{\alpha_i} = \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** 1.  $\rightarrow$  2. Let  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} F_\alpha = \emptyset$ , then by taking complement

$$\begin{aligned} X \setminus \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} F_\alpha &= X \\ \Rightarrow \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} (X \setminus F_\alpha) &= X \end{aligned}$$



ISSN: 2319-5967

ISO 9001:2008 Certified

International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology (IJESIT)

Volume 4, Issue 2, March 2015

So  $\{X \setminus F_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  is rg-open cover of  $X$ , since  $X$  is rg-compact, it has a finite subcover, thus

$$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^k (X \setminus F_{\alpha_i})$$

Now taking complement

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= X / \bigcup_{i=1}^k (X \setminus F_{\alpha_i}) \\ &= \bigcap_{i=1}^k F_{\alpha_i} \end{aligned}$$

2.  $\rightarrow$ 1. Let  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  be rg-open cover of  $X$ .

i.e.  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha$ , so  $X \setminus U_\alpha, \alpha \in I$  are rg-closed subsets which have an empty intersection.

By hypotheses it contains a finite subset  $\{X \setminus U_{\alpha_1}, \dots, X \setminus U_{\alpha_k}\}$  such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^k (X \setminus U_{\alpha_i}) = \phi$ .

By taking complement

$$\begin{aligned} X \setminus \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^k X \setminus U_{\alpha_i} \right) &= X \setminus \phi \\ \Rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_{\alpha_i} &= X \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $X$  is rg-compact. ■

**Theorem 6** A topological space  $X$  is rg-compact if and only if every family of rg-closed subset of  $X$  which has the finite intersection property, has a nonempty intersection.

**Proof.** From the previous theorem we can take the negation of 2 i.e. for every rg-closed sets  $F_\alpha, \alpha \in I$  such that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^k F_{\alpha_i} \neq \phi \text{ implies } \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} F_\alpha \neq \phi.$$

So the proof is completed. ■

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Al.shibani, A. M., rg-Compact Spaces and rg-Connected Spaces, Mathematical Pannonica, 17(1) (2006), 61-68.
- [2] Chawalit, B. : Pre servation Theorems Concerning g-Hausdorff and rg-Hausdorff Spaces, Naresuan University Journal, 11(3) (2003), 75-77.
- [3] Palaniappan, N. and Chandrasekhara Reo, K.: Regular Generalized Closed Sets, Kyungpook Mathematical Journal 33(2) (1993), 211-219.
- [4] Stone, M.: Application of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 41(1937), 374-481.