



ISSN: 2319-5967

ISO 9001:2008 Certified

International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology (IJESIT)

Volume 2, Issue 4, July 2013

# Evaluation of Improper Integrals in the Adaptive Integration Scheme Based On Open Type Mixed Rules

Debasish Das, Rajani B.Dash

Department of Mathematics, Ravenshaw University, Cuttack-753003, Odisha (India)

*Abstract - An adaptive integration scheme based on open type mixed quadrature rules for evaluating improper integrals upto certain accuracy is presented. Three mixed quadrature rules are employed for framing a termination criterion of the recursive integration process. The algorithm presented in this paper is 'C' programmed. Several improper integrals are numerically evaluated by applying this scheme. The results show that this scheme is efficient and reliable for evaluation of improper integrals.*

AMS subject classification: 65D30, 65D32

**Keywords:** Steffensen quadrature rule, Gauss- Legendre quadrature rules, mixed quadrature rules, adaptive integration scheme.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Walter Gander and Walter Gautschi [7] have developed an adaptive integration scheme for approximation of real definite integrals. They took into account the Lobatto quadrature rule and two Kronrod extensions of Lobatto quadrature rule for framing the termination criterion. R.B.Dash and D.Das [5] have extended this idea taking into account three quadrature/mixed rules namely Clenshaw- Curtis quadrature rule and two mixed rules using Clenshaw- Curtis rule instead of using Kronrod extension to frame the termination criterion. The objective of the mixed quadrature rule [3,4,5] is construction of a symmetric quadrature rule of higher precision as a linear/convex combination of two other rules of equal lower precision. As the Steffensen 4-Point rule ( $R_{St_4}(f)$ ) and Gauss- Legendre 2-point rule ( $R_{GL_2}(f)$ ) are of precision 3, one can form a mixed rule ( $R_{St_4GL_2}(f)$ ) of precision 5 by taking the linear combination of these two rules. Similarly one can form a mixed quadrature rule ( $R_{St_4GL_2GL_3}(f)$ ) of precision 7 by taking the linear combination of the Gauss-Legendre 3-point rule ( $R_{GL_3}(f)$ ) and the mixed quadrature rule ( $R_{St_4GL_2}(f)$ ). As the mixed quadrature rule ( $R_{St_4GL_2GL_3}(f)$ ) and the Gauss-Legendre 4-point rule ( $R_{GL_4}(f)$ ) are of precision 7 one can form a mixed quadrature rule ( $R_{St_4GL_2GL_3GL_4}(f)$ ) of precision 9 by taking the linear combination of these two rules.

In this paper, we have used the above three open type mixed rules for framing the termination criterion. This adaptive integration scheme [1,2,6] is applied effectively for approximating some improper integrals given in the tables at the end.

### (A) A simple adaptive strategy

The input to this scheme is a, b,  $\epsilon$ , f. The output is  $P \approx \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} f(x) dx$  with the error hopefully less than  $\epsilon$ .

Here a=0, b=1. A simple adaptive strategy is outlined in the following four- step algorithm.

Step-1 : An approximation  $I_1$  to  $I = \int_a^b f(x) dx$  is computed.



ISSN: 2319-5967

ISO 9001:2008 Certified

International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology (IJESIT)

Volume 2, Issue 4, July 2013

Step-2 : The interval is divided into two pieces  $[a, c]$  and  $[c, b]$  where  $c = \frac{(a+b)}{2}$ , and then  $I_2 \approx \int_a^c f(x) dx$

and  $I_3 \approx \int_c^b f(x) dx$  are computed.

Step 3 :  $I_2 + I_3$  is compared with  $I_1$ , to estimate the error in  $I_2 + I_3$ .

Step 4 : If  $|\text{estimated error}| \leq \epsilon/2$  (termination criterion), then  $I_2 + I_3$  is accepted as a approximation to

$\int_a^b f(x) dx$ . Otherwise, the same procedure is applied to  $[a, c]$  and  $[c, b]$ , allowing each piece a tolerance of  $\epsilon/2$ . Here the approximations  $I_1, I_2$  and  $I_3$  are related to  $R_{St_4GL_2}(f), R_{St_4GL_2GL_3}(f)$  and  $R_{St_4GL_2GL_3GL_4}(f)$  respectively.

## II. CONSTRUCTION OF THE MIXED QUADRATURE RULE OF PRECISION FIVE

We choose the Steffensen 4-point rule ( $R_{St_4}(f)$ ):

$$I(f) = \int_{m-h}^{m+h} f(x) dx \approx R_{St_4}(f) = \frac{h}{12} \left[ 11f\left(m - \frac{3h}{5}\right) + f\left(m - \frac{h}{5}\right) + f\left(m + \frac{h}{5}\right) + 11f\left(m + \frac{3h}{5}\right) \right] \quad (1)$$

and the Gauss- Legendre 2 point rule ( $R_{GL_2}(f)$ ):

$$I(f) = \int_{m-h}^{m+h} f(x) dx \approx R_{GL_2}(f) = h \left[ f\left(m - \frac{h}{\sqrt{3}}\right) + f\left(m + \frac{h}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \right] \quad (2)$$

where  $h = \frac{b-a}{2}$ ,  $m = \frac{b+a}{2}$

Each of the rules  $R_{St_4}(f)$  and  $R_{GL_2}(f)$  is of precision 3. Let  $E_{St_4}(f)$  and  $E_{GL_2}(f)$  denote the errors in approximating the integral  $I(f)$  by the rules  $R_{St_4}(f)$  and  $R_{GL_2}(f)$  respectively. Using Maclaurin's expansion of functions in Eqs (1) and (2), we get

$$I(f) = R_{St_4}(f) + E_{St_4}(f) \quad (3)$$

$$\text{and } I(f) = R_{GL_2}(f) + E_{GL_2}(f) \quad (4)$$

where

$$E_{St_4}(f) = \frac{304}{375 \times 5!} h^5 f^{(iv)}(m) + \frac{13136}{9375 \times 7!} h^7 f^{(vi)}(m) + \frac{672992}{390625 \times 9!} h^9 f^{(viii)}(m) + \frac{11004256}{5859375 \times 11!} h^{11} f^{(x)}(m) + \dots$$

$$E_{GL_2}(f) = \frac{8}{9 \times 5!} h^5 f^{(iv)}(m) + \frac{40}{27 \times 7!} h^7 f^{(vi)}(m) + \frac{16}{9 \times 9!} h^9 f^{(viii)}(m) + \frac{464}{243 \times 11!} h^{11} f^{(x)}(m) + \dots$$

Multiplying the Eqs (3) and (4) by  $\frac{1}{3}$  and  $\frac{-38}{125}$  respectively and then adding the resulting equations, we obtain

$$I(f) = \frac{1}{11} [125R_{St_4}(f) - 114R_{GL_2}(f)] + \frac{1}{11} [125E_{St_4}(f) - 114E_{GL_2}(f)]$$



ISSN: 2319-5967

ISO 9001:2008 Certified

International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology (IJESIT)

Volume 2, Issue 4, July 2013

$$\text{or } I(f) = R_{St_4GL_2}(f) + E_{St_4GL_2}(f) \quad (5)$$

where

$$R_{St_4GL_2}(f) = \frac{1}{11} [125R_{St_4}(f) - 114R_{GL_2}(f)] \quad (6)$$

This is the desired mixed quadrature rule of precision 5 for the approximate evaluation of  $I(f)$ . The truncation error generated in this approximation is given by

$$E_{St_4GL_2}(f) = \frac{1}{11} [125E_{St_4}(f) - 114E_{GL_2}(f)] \quad (7)$$

$$\text{or } E_{St_4GL_2}(f) = \frac{128}{7! \times 225} h^7 f^{(vi)}(m) + \frac{10816}{9! \times 9375} h^9 f^{(viii)}(m) + \frac{1964992}{1265625 \times 11!} h^{11} f^{(x)}(m) + \dots$$

$$\text{or } |E_{St_4GL_2}(f)| \cong \frac{128}{7! \times 225} h^7 |f^{(vi)}(m)| \quad (8)$$

The rule  $R_{St_4GL_2}(f)$  is called a mixed type rule of precision 5, as it is constructed from two different types of rules of the same precision.

### III. CONSTRUCTION OF THE MIXED QUADRATURE RULE OF PRECISION SEVEN

We chose the mixed rule  $(R_{St_4GL_2}(f))$ :

$$I(f) = \int_{m-h}^{m+h} f(x) dx \approx R_{St_4GL_2}(f) = \frac{1}{11} [125R_{St_4}(f) - 114R_{GL_2}(f)] \quad (9)$$

and the Gauss- Legendre 3-point rule  $(R_{GL_3}(f))$ :

$$\begin{aligned} I(f) &= \int_{m-h}^{m+h} f(x) dx \approx R_{GL_3}(f): \\ &= \frac{h}{9} \left[ 5f\left(m - \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}h\right) + 8f(m) + 5f\left(m + \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}h\right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Each of the rules  $R_{St_4GL_2}(f)$  and  $R_{GL_3}(f)$  is of precision 5. Let  $E_{St_4GL_2}(f)$  and  $E_{GL_3}(f)$  denote the errors in approximating the integral  $I(f)$  by the rules  $R_{St_4GL_2}(f)$  and  $R_{GL_3}(f)$  respectively. Using Maclaurin's expansion of functions in Eqs (9) and (10), we get

$$I(f) = R_{St_4GL_2}(f) + E_{St_4GL_2}(f) \quad (11)$$

$$I(f) = R_{GL_3}(f) + E_{GL_3}(f) \quad (12)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} E_{St_4GL_2}(f) &= \frac{128}{7! \times 225} h^7 f^{(vi)}(m) + \frac{10816}{9! \times 9375} h^9 f^{(viii)}(m) \\ &\quad + \frac{1964992}{1265625 \times 11!} h^{11} f^{(x)}(m) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{GL_3}(f) &= \frac{8}{7! \times 25} h^7 f^{(vi)}(m) + \frac{88}{125 \times 9!} h^9 f^{(viii)}(m) \\ &\quad + \frac{656}{625 \times 11!} h^{11} f^{(x)}(m) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the equation (12) by  $\frac{-16}{9}$  and then adding it with equation (11), we obtain



ISSN: 2319-5967

ISO 9001:2008 Certified

International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology (IJESIT)

Volume 2, Issue 4, July 2013

$$I(f) = \frac{1}{7} [16R_{GL_3}(f) - 9R_{St_4 GL_2}(f)] + \frac{1}{7} [16E_{GL_3}(f) - 9E_{St_4 GL_2}(f)]$$

$$I(f) = R_{St_4 GL_2 GL_3}(f) + E_{St_4 GL_2 GL_3}(f) \tag{13}$$

where  $R_{St_4 GL_2 GL_3}(f) = \frac{1}{7} [16R_{GL_3}(f) - 9R_{St_4 GL_2}(f)]$  (14)

This is the desired mixed quadrature rule of precision 7 for the approximate evaluation of  $I(f)$ . The truncation error generated in this approximation is given by

$$E_{St_4 GL_2 GL_3}(f) = \frac{1}{7} [16E_{GL_3}(f) - 9E_{St_4 GL_2}(f)] \tag{15}$$

or,  $E_{St_4 GL_2 GL_3}(f) = \frac{2752}{21875 \times 9!} h^9 f^{(viii)}(m) + \frac{3569472}{8859375 \times 11!} h^{11} f^{(x)}(m) + \dots$

or,  $|E_{St_4 GL_2 GL_3}(f)| \cong \frac{2752}{21875 \times 9!} h^9 |f^{(viii)}(m)|$  (16)

The rule  $R_{St_4 GL_2 GL_3}(f)$  is called a mixed type rule of precision 7, as it is constructed from two different types of rules of the same precision.

#### IV. CONSTRUCTION OF THE MIXED QUADRATURE RULE OF PRECISION NINE

We choose the mixed rule ( $R_{St_4 GL_2 GL_3}(f)$ ):

$$I(f) = \int_{m-h}^{m+h} f(x) dx \approx R_{St_4 GL_2 GL_3}(f) = \frac{1}{7} [16R_{GL_3}(f) - 9R_{St_4 GL_2}(f)] \tag{17}$$

and the Gauss- Legendre 4- point rule ( $R_{GL_4}(f)$ ):

$$I(f) = \int_{m-h}^{m+h} f(x) dx \approx R_{GL_4}(f) = \frac{h}{36} \left[ (18 + \sqrt{30}) \{f(m - \alpha h) + f(m + \alpha h)\} + (18 - \sqrt{30}) \{f(m - \beta h) + f(m + \beta h)\} \right] \tag{18}$$

where  $\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{3 - 2\sqrt{6}}{7}}$ ,  $\beta = \sqrt{\frac{3 + 2\sqrt{6}}{7}}$

Each of the rules  $R_{St_4 GL_2 GL_3}(f)$  and  $R_{GL_4}(f)$  is of precision 7. Let  $E_{St_4 GL_2 GL_3}(f)$  and  $E_{GL_4}(f)$  denote the errors in approximating the integral  $I(f)$  by the rules  $R_{St_4 GL_2 GL_3}(f)$  and  $R_{GL_4}(f)$  respectively. Using Maclaurin's expansion of functions in Eqs (17) and (18), we get

$$I(f) = R_{St_4 GL_2 GL_3}(f) + E_{St_4 GL_2 GL_3}(f) \tag{19}$$

$$I(f) = R_{GL_4}(f) + E_{GL_4}(f) \tag{20}$$

where

$$E_{St_4 GL_2 GL_3}(f) = \frac{2752}{21875 \times 9!} h^9 f^{(viii)}(m) + \frac{3569472}{8859375 \times 11!} h^{11} f^{(x)}(m) + \dots$$

$$E_{GL_4}(f) = \frac{128}{1225 \times 9!} h^9 f^{(viii)}(m) + \frac{2432}{8575 \times 11!} h^{11} f^{(x)}(m) + \dots$$





ISSN: 2319-5967

ISO 9001:2008 Certified

International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology (IJESIT)

Volume 2, Issue 4, July 2013

```
if(fabs(I-integral1) < E/2)
{
    printf("\nError bound satisfied\n");
    return I;
}
else
{
    printf("\nError bound doesnt get satisfied\n");
    sum_register=sum_register+Integrand(E,A,m);
    return (sum_register+Integrand(E,m,B));
}
}
long double REC_Integrand_R2(long double a,long double b)
{
    long double h,m,o,p,q,RSt4,RGL2,RSt4GL2;
    long double x1,x2,x3,x4,x5,x6;
    printf("\na: %.20Lf\n",a);
    printf("\nb: %.20Lf\n",b);
    h=(b-a)/2;
    m=(a+b)/2;
    o=0.6;
    p=0.2;
    q=1/sqrt(3);
    x1=1/(2*(log(1/(m-o*h))+100));
    x2=1/(2*(log(1/(m+o*h))+100));
    x3=1/(2*(log(1/(m-p*h))+100));
    x4=1/(2*(log(1/(m+p*h))+100));
    x5=1/(2*(log(1/(m-q*h))+100));
    x6=1/(2*(log(1/(m+q*h))+100));
    RSt4=(long double)h/12*(11*(x1+x2)+x3+x4);
    RGL2=(long double)h*(x5+x6);
    RSt4GL2=(long double)1/11*(125*RSt4-114*RGL2);
    return RSt4GL2;
}
long double REC_Integrand_R3(long double a,long double b)
{
    long double h,m,o,p,q,r,RSt4,RGL2,RSt4GL2,RGL3;
    long double x1,x2,x3,x4,x5,x6,x7,x8,x9,RSt4GL2GL3;
    printf("\na: %.20Lf\n",a);
    printf("\nb: %.20Lf\n",b);
    h=(b-a)/2;
    m=(b+a)/2;
    o=0.6;
    p=0.2;
    q=1/sqrt(3);
    r=sqrt(3)/sqrt(5);
    x1=1/(2*(log(1/(m-o*h))+100));
    x2=1/(2*(log(1/(m+o*h))+100));
    x3=1/(2*(log(1/(m-p*h))+100));
    x4=1/(2*(log(1/(m+p*h))+100));
    x5=1/(2*(log(1/(m-q*h))+100));
    x6=1/(2*(log(1/(m+q*h))+100));
    x7=1/(2*(log(1/(m-r*h))+100));
    x8=1/(2*(log(1/(m+r*h))+100));
    x9=1/(2*(log(1/m))+100);
```



ISSN: 2319-5967

ISO 9001:2008 Certified

International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology (IJESIT)

Volume 2, Issue 4, July 2013

```
RSt4=(long double)h/12*(11*(x1+x2)+x3+x4);
RGL2=(long double)h*(x5+x6);
RSt4GL2=(long double)1/11*(125*RSt4-114*RGL2);
RGL3=(long double)h/9*(5*(x7+x8)+8*x9);
RSt4GL2GL3=(long double)1/7*(16*RGL3-9*RSt4GL2);
return RSt4GL2GL3;
}

long double REC_Integrand_R1(long double a,long double b)
{
    long double h,m,o,p,q,r,u,v,RSt4,RGL2,RSt4GL2,RGL3,RGL4,RSt4GL2GL3GL4;
    long double x1,x2,x3,x4,x5,x6,x7,x8,x9,x10,x11,x12,x13,RSt4GL2GL3;
    printf("\na: %.20Lf\n",a);
    printf("\nb: %.20Lf\n",b);
    h=(b-a)/2;
    m=(a+b)/2;
    o=0.6;
    p=0.2;
    q=1/sqrt(3);
    r=sqrt(3)/sqrt(5);
    u=sqrt((3-2*sqrt(1.2))/7);
    v=sqrt((3+2*sqrt(1.2))/7);
    x1=1/(2*(log(1/(m-o*h))+100));
    x2=1/(2*(log(1/(m+o*h))+100));
    x3=1/(2*(log(1/(m-p*h))+100));
    x4=1/(2*(log(1/(m+p*h))+100));
    x5=1/(2*(log(1/(m-q*h))+100));
    x6=1/(2*(log(1/(m+q*h))+100));
    x7=1/(2*(log(1/(m-r*h))+100));
    x8=1/(2*(log(1/(m+r*h))+100));
    x9=1/(2*(log(1/m))+100);
    x10=1/(2*(log(1/(m-u*h))+100));
    x11=1/(2*(log(1/(m+u*h))+100));
    x12=1/(2*(log(1/(m-v*h))+100));
    x13=1/(2*(log(1/(m+v*h))+100));
    RSt4=(long double)h/12*(11*(x1+x2)+x3+x4);
    RGL2=(long double)h*(x5+x6);
    RSt4GL2=(long double)1/11*(125*RSt4-114*RGL2);
    RGL3=(long double)h/9*(5*(x7+x8)+8*x9);
    RGL4=(long double)h/36*((18+sqrt(30))*(x10+x11)+(18-sqrt(30))*(x12+x13));
    RSt4GL2GL3=(long double)1/7*(16*RGL3-9*RSt4GL2);
    RSt4GL2GL3GL4=(long double)1/51*(301*RGL4-250*RSt4GL2GL3);
    return RSt4GL2GL3GL4;
}

void main()
{
    long double A,B,E,Ev,FErr;
    int ch;
    long double Ans;
    printf("\t\t\tProgram Adaptive quadrature:\n");
    clrscr();
    while(1)
    {
        clrscr();
        printf("\n\n\n\t 1.Enter the value of the nodes A,B,PrescribedtoleranceEAndExact val");
```







ISSN: 2319-5967

ISO 9001:2008 Certified

International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology (IJESIT)

Volume 2, Issue 4, July 2013

$I_6 = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx$	$\frac{\pi}{4} \approx 0.7853981634$	0.8150	0.8169	0.7954
$I_7 = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x}}{1+x^2} dx$	0.62144962	0.6199	0.6196	0.6225

Table II: Approximation of some improper integrals in the whole-interval method

Integrals	Exact value	Approximate value			
		$R_{GL_3}(f)$	$R_{GL_4}(f)$	$R_{St_4GL_2GL_3}(f)$	$R_{St_4GL_2GL_3GL_4}(f)$
$I_1 = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} x^2 dx$	2	1.5412	1.6914	1.6456	1.9158
$I_2 = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} \cos x dx$	0.5	0.4580	0.4644	0.4585	0.4929
$I_3 = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x}}{\sqrt{x}} dx$	1.77245385	1.5251	1.5797	1.5614	1.6697
$I_4 = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x}}{1+x^4} dx$	0.63047783	0.6505	0.6250	0.6271	0.6150
$I_5 = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} \sin x dx$	0.5	0.5444	0.5109	0.5188	0.4722
$I_6 = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx$	0.7853981634	0.7909	0.7842	0.7851	0.7798
$I_7 = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x}}{1+x^2} dx$	0.62144962	0.6222	0.6217	0.6218	0.621406

Table – III: approximation of some improper integrals in the adaptive integration scheme involving Gauss-Legendre rules ( $R_{GL_2}(f), R_{GL_3}(f), R_{GL_4}(f)$ )

Integrals	Exact value (I)	Approximate value (P)	No. of steps required	Error  P-I
$I_1 = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} x^2 dx$	2	1.999996	67	0.000003
$I_2 = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} \cos x dx$	0.5	0.5000005	45	0.0000005
$I_3 = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x}}{\sqrt{x}} dx$	1.77245385	1.7724486	89	0.000005
$I_4 = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x}}{1+x^4} dx$	0.63047783	0.6304786	15	0.0000008



ISSN: 2319-5967

ISO 9001:2008 Certified

International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology (IJESIT)

Volume 2, Issue 4, July 2013

$I_5 = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} \sin x dx$	0.5	0.5000005	39	0.0000005
$I_6 = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx$	0.7853981634	0.7853968	29	0.000001
$I_7 = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x}}{1+x^2} dx$	0.62144962	0.6214504	17	0.0000007

Here the prescribed tolerance ( $\epsilon$ ) = 0.000001

**Table- IV : Approximation of some improper integrals in the adaptive integration scheme involving mixed rules**

Integrals	Exact value (I)	Approximate value (P)	No. of steps required	Error  P-I
$I_1 = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} x^2 dx$	2	1.999998	47	0.000001
$I_2 = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} \cos x dx$	0.5	0.499999908	35	0.00000009
$I_3 = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x}}{\sqrt{x}} dx$	1.77245385	1.77245303	87	0.0000008
$I_4 = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x}}{1+x^4} dx$	0.63047783	0.63047806	9	0.0000002
$I_5 = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} \sin x dx$	0.5	0.5000010	31	0.000001
$I_6 = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx$	0.7853981634	0.7853965	21	0.000001
$I_7 = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x}}{1+x^2} dx$	0.62144962	0.6214499	17	0.0000002

Here the prescribed tolerance ( $\epsilon$ ) = 0.000001

### VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have presented an adaptive integration scheme involving open type mixed quadrature rules for approximate evaluation of improper integrals. We have taken three open type mixed rules for framing the termination criterion in the adaptive integration scheme. From tables 1 and 2, we observe that the mixed quadrature rules yield better results than its constituent rules. Tables 3 and 4 show that in the adaptive integration scheme, the mixed quadrature rules involve less number of steps in approximating improper integrals and yield better results than its constituent rules.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research is supported by the ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, Govt. of India under a central sector scheme of Rajiv Gandhi National fellowship. We thank to Mr. Santosh Ku. Swain, who assisted us writing the algorithm using C-Programme.



ISSN: 2319-5967

ISO 9001:2008 Certified

International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology (IJESIT)

Volume 2, Issue 4, July 2013

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Brian Bradie : ‘A Friendly introduction to Numerical Analysis, Pearson (2007).
- [2] S.Conte and C.De. Boor: ‘Elementary numerical Analysis’, Mc-Graw Hill (1980).
- [3] R.N. Das, and G. Pradhan: ‘A mixed quadrature rule for approximate evaluation of real definite integrals’ , Int J. Math, Educ, Sci, Technol. Vol-27, no.-2, P-279-283, (1996).
- [4] Rajani B. Dash and Debasish Das: ‘A mixed quadrature rule by blending Clenshaw-Curtis and Gauss- Legendre quadrature rules for approximation of real definite integrals in adaptive environment’ , proceedings of the international multi – conference of Engineer and computer scientists, Vol-I, P- 202-205, Hong-Kong (2011).
- [5] Rajani B. Dash and Debasish Das: ‘On the use of mixed quadrature in adaptive quadrature routines’ Global Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, vol-2, No.-1 PP-45-56, RIP, New Delhi (2012).
- [6] Philip J. Davis and Philip Rabinowitz: ‘Methods of Numerical integration’, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Academic press Inc, San Diego (1984).
- [7] Walter Gander and Walter Gautschi: ‘Adaptive quadrature – Revisited’, BIT Numerical mathematics, Vol-40, No-1 PP-84-101 (2000).

#### AUTHOR’S BIOGRAPHY



**Debasish Das:** M.Sc. (2006), M.Phil.(2009),Senior Research Fellow under Rajiv Gandhi National Fellowship Scheme sponsored by U.G.C. .Research interest: Numerical Analysis and O.R., Number of Research Publications: 06 , Continuing Ph.D. work in Ravenshaw University, Cuttack Odisha.



**Dr.Rajani Ballav Dash:** M.Sc.(1975),Ph.D.(1982),Retired Principal ,S.C.S(Auto) College ,Puri, Ex-Reader in Mathematics, Ravenshaw University, Cuttack, Odisha. Research interest: Numerical Analysis, O.R., Fuzzy Programming, Number of Research Publications: 35, Number of Text books in Mathematics Published: 10, Guided 3 Ph.D. Scholars, Life Member of Orissa Mathematical Society.